# EXHIBIT 3

NORTHERN DIST	ES DISTRICT COURT PRICT OF CALIFORNIA SE DIVISION
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	Case No. 17-cv-00220-LHK-NMC
QUALCOMM INCORPORATED, a Delaware Corporation,	
Defendant.	
IN RE: QUALCOMM ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. 17-md-02773-LHK-NMC
	ES DISTRICT COURT RICT OF CALIFORNIA
IN RE: QUALCOMM LITIGATION	Case No. 3:17-cv-00108-GPC-MDD
WHEREAS the Parties desire to minimi	EDI-DISCOVERY COORDINATION ORDER  ize the burden and expense of duplicative fact  erwise modifying the appropriate topics of discovery
WHEREAS the Parties agree that fact di	iscovery in the above-captioned actions should be
coordinated as provided herein;	
THE PARTIES THEREFORE STIPULA	ATE AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:
1. For the purpose of this Order:	

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23

24 25

26

27 28

"Qualcomm" refers to Qualcomm Incorporated.

- "SD Cal Litigation" refers to the consolidated cases Apple Inc. v. Qualcomm Incorporated, Case No. 17-cv-00108-GPC (S.D. Cal.) and Qualcomm Incorporated v. Compal Electronics, Inc., FIH Mobile Ltd., Hon Hai Precision Industry Co., Ltd., Pegatron Corporation, and Wistron Corporation, Case No. 17-cv-01010-GPC (S.D. Cal.).
- 2. Counsel for the Parties in each Pending Case shall be bound by this Order.

#### COORDINATION OF WRITTEN DISCOVERY

- 3. Any Party that serves or has served a written discovery request under Rule 31, 33, 34, or 36 on another Party in any of the Pending Cases shall provide a copy of the request to the Contact Attorneys in each Pending Case, except insofar as such requests are served in the SD Cal Litgation and relate solely to claims concerning the Patents-In-Suit in the SD Cal Litigation.
- 4. Any Party that responds or has responded to a written discovery request in any of the Pending Cases shall serve its response and produce any responsive materials to the Contact Attorneys in each Pending Case, except insofar as such requests are served in the SD Cal Litigation and relate solely to claims concerning the Patents-In-Suit in the SD Cal Litigation.
- 5. A Party (the "Issuing Party") that serves, after issuance of this Order, a subpoena or other request (including any request for international judicial assistance) for the production of documents or other materials on a person or entity not a Party ("Non-Party") to any Pending Case shall promptly (a) provide a copy of the subpoena or other request to all Contact Attorneys; (b) provide a copy of this Order and the Protective Orders in effect in each of the Pending Cases to the Non-Party; (c) notify the Non-Party that, pursuant to this Order, materials produced in response to such subpoena or other request will be produced in each Pending Case, and (d) request that the Non-Party simultaneously produce materials to the Contact Attorneys in each Pending Case. If, notwithstanding such request, the Non-Party does not produce the materials to the Contact Attorneys in each Pending Case, the issuing

Party shall, as permitted by law, provide a copy of all materials produced pursuant to the subpoena or other request to the Contact Attorneys in each of the Pending Cases within five (5) calendar days after receipt of the materials from the Non-Party. If a Party has served a Non-Party subpoena or other document request prior to the issuance of this Order, the Issuing Party will provide a copy of the subpoena or other request to all Contact Attorneys, advise the Non-Party that the document production is to be shared across the Pending Cases and provide an opportunity of ten (10) days to object, and shall provide a copy of all materials produced pursuant to the subpoena or other request to the Contact Attorneys in each of the Pending Cases within five (5) calendar days after the later of (1) expiration of such ten (10) day period, or (2) the Party's receipt of materials from the Non-Party. If a Party modifies or extends the time to respond to a Rule 45 document subpoena in writing, it shall promptly inform Contact Attorneys in each Pending Case of that written extension or modification. This paragraph shall not apply to a subpoena or other request served in the SD Cal Litigation that relates solely to claims concerning the Patents-In-Suit in the SD Cal Litigation.

6. All written responses to discovery requests and subpoenas and materials provided in response to discovery requests and subpoenas in any Pending Case shall be treated as having been obtained through discovery in each Pending Case, except insofar as such responses and materials relate solely to claims concerning the Patents-In-Suit in the SD Cal Litigation. Any such materials shall be clearly designated "SD Cal Litigation Only."

#### **COORDINATION OF DEPOSITIONS**

7. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(a)(2)(A), leave is granted to all Parties to conduct in excess of ten (10) depositions per side, provided that nothing in this Order shall prevent the FTC and Qualcomm from entry into an agreement limiting the number of depositions to be noticed or deemed taken in the FTC Litigation, or from seeking a court order imposing such a limitation. For avoidance of doubt, this order supplants the deposition hours limitations set forth in the September 11, 2017 Order Granting Joint Motion for Approval of Stipulation Regarding Scheduling and Discovery Matters in the SD Cal Litigation ("September 11, 2017)

- Order"), except insofar as a deposition relates solely to claims concerning the Patents-In-Suit in the SD Cal Litigation, in which case the party noticing the deposition shall so indicate in such notice and the September 11, 2017 Order will apply.
- 8. Depositions subpoenaed, noticed, and/or taken in any of the Pending Cases shall be treated as if they were noticed and taken in each Pending Case (to the extent, absent agreement of the parties or leave of court, the deposition is taken during the court-ordered discovery period for the particular Pending Case), except insofar as a deposition relates solely to claims concerning the Patents-in-Suit in the SD Cal Litigation, in which case the party noticing the deposition shall indicate in such notice and/or during such deposition; provided that, absent a Court order or agreement of the FTC and Qualcomm to the contrary, only depositions noticed in the FTC Litigation shall be treated as having been noticed and taken in the FTC Litigation.
- 9. A Party issuing a deposition notice or subpoena or seeking a request for international judicial assistance in obtaining testimony of any non-Party witness (the "Subpoenaing Party") shall provide at least five (5) days advance notice to Contact Attorneys in each Pending Case. Other Parties shall be entitled to join the Subpoenaing Party's notice, subpoena, or request by notice to Contact Attorneys in each Pending Case within such five (5) day period. The Parties also will preserve the right to add topics to any 30(b)(6) or similar subpoena or notice. The Parties shall make reasonable good-faith efforts to coordinate the scheduling of the deposition with each other and with any Non-Party witness, provided, however, that no Party may unreasonably delay a deposition.
- 10. For Party depositions, prior to issuing a notice for a date certain, the noticing Party shall notify the Contact Attorneys for all Parties of its intent to depose a particular witness, and request available dates for the witness from counsel for the Party whose witness's deposition is sought. Within seven (7) days of receiving the request, the Party to whom such a request is made shall provide at least one (1) proposed deposition date (*i.e.*, one (1) set of two (2) days for a fourteen (14) hour deposition) and use good faith efforts to provide two (2) proposed deposition dates. For depositions of witnesses requested after entry of this Order, if any Party

proposes only one (1) deposition date for a particular witness, it shall not propose any date that would require more than one (1) of its other witnesses to be deposed on the same date, absent agreement of all Parties. If other Parties intend to depose the same witness, they must provide notice to the Contact Attorneys for all Parties of such intent within seven (7) days of being notified that such witness's deposition is being sought. If the Party whose witness is being sought for deposition is informed that multiple Parties intend to depose that witness, that Party shall provide deposition dates with sufficient time for questioning by multiple Parties. The noticing Party or Parties shall use their best efforts to schedule the deposition on a proposed deposition date mutually agreeable to all Parties. The Party whose witness's deposition is sought shall retain its right to formally object (by motion for protective order or otherwise) to the taking of a particular deposition or to the timing or scope of such deposition.

11. Counsel in any of the Pending Cases shall be entitled to attend depositions noticed in each Pending Case, so long as they agree to be bound by the Protective Order entered in one of the Pending Cases, except insofar as such depositions relate solely to claims concerning the Patents-In-Suit in the SD Cal Litigation, in which case only counsel for Parties to the SD Cal Litigation may attend. A Party's in-house counsel bound by a protective order may attend depositions of its current or former employees, and if the examining party intends to ask questions about information produced in discovery that has been designated for outside counsel only, the examining party shall indicate that it intends to ask about information so designated, allowing the in-house counsel to excuse himself or herself for that portion of the examination. Non-noticing counsel may ask questions and raise objections at depositions to the extent allowed under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Parties shall meet and confer in advance of each deposition to allocate deposition time, if necessary, and attempt to coordinate a single Party to make objections. Any Party may avail itself of any objection to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Note, for any deposition notice issued prior to the filing of this Proposed Order, the seven day notice period starts from the filing of this Proposed Order.

the form of a question made by any other Party properly in attendance at a deposition without the need to be in attendance or express its joinder in the objection.

- 12. The time limits on depositions established by Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(d)(1) shall apply to all depositions, except that in the event that a deposition of a Non-Party is noticed in both the ND Cal Litigation and the SD Cal Litigation, the Parties agree that, absent good cause, they will not oppose an extension of the time limit for that deposition to up to fourteen (14) hours of on-the-record questioning time. In any deposition of Qualcomm or a current or former Qualcomm employee in his or her individual capacity noticed in both the ND Cal Litigation and the SD Cal Litigation, the deposition time limit shall be extended to up to fourteen (14) hours of on-the-record questioning time in total. In any deposition of Apple or a current or former Apple employee in his or her individual capacity, or in any deposition of a CM or a current or a former CM employee in his or her individual capacity noticed in both the ND Cal Litigation and the SD Cal Litigation, the deposition time limit shall be extended to up to fourteen (14) hours of on-the-record time in total.
- 13. A Party that was provided prior notice of a deposition (other than a deposition pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6)) in any Pending Case and did not make a contemporaneous request to depose the witness may not, absent leave of Court, notice a second deposition of the same witness in a Pending Case.
- 14. Notwithstanding the foregoing, to the extent documents relating to a Party's witness are produced by that Party either within the two week period prior to the commencement of a witness's deposition or after the commencement or completion of such witness's deposition, and such documents are material and non-cumulative of documents previously produced, the parties shall as soon as practicable meet and confer to discuss whether to reschedule the deposition, or re-open the deposition (to the extent it has already occurred). If the parties are unable to agree, they shall jointly present the issue to the Court for resolution. With respect to depositions of Apple or CM witnesses, this Paragraph supersedes the fourth sentence of

Paragraph 8(b) of the Stipulated Order Re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information and Related Discovery Matters in the FTC Litigation (ECF No. 142).

#### PLEADINGS AND MOTIONS

15. Any Party that serves or has served a pleading or motion on another Party in any Pending case shall serve an unredacted copy of the pleading or motion on the Contact Attorneys in each Pending Case, subject if necessary to the Protective Orders in those cases. This paragraph shall not apply to pleadings or motions served in the SD Cal Litigation that relate solely to claims concerning the Patents-In-Suit in the SD Cal Litigation.

#### PROTECTION OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

- 16. The Protective Order in effect in each Pending Case is hereby modified to permit the disclosure and production of Protected Material (as defined therein) to the Contact Attorneys in each Pending Case, and the further use and disclosure of such material by each Party hereto in accordance with the Protective Order(s), including any Supplemental Protective Order(s), in each Pending Case to which it is a Party.
- 17. The Protective Order or Supplemental Protective Order(s) in effect in each Pending Case shall govern the handling by the Parties to such Pending Case of protected material produced hereunder, and, unless modified by the designating party, confidentiality designations applied in one Pending Case shall apply in all Pending Cases. To the extent there are conflicts among the Protective Orders or Supplemental Protective Order(s) regarding the individual employees of a Party who may access Protected Material, the Protective Order or Supplemental Protective Order that applied to the original production of a particular document designated as Protected Material shall control.
- 18. Effective upon its entry in all of the Pending Cases, this Order shall supersede in its entirety the Joint Stipulation and Discovery Coordination Order currently in effect in the FTC Litigation (ECF No. 207) and the MDL Litigation (ECF No. 131).

## Case 2:20ase 5289-2n5 + 102-7/749-L DKculoumun1 29 + 505 il 40 / 102 / 124 / 18 a grade 9 to 221 Page ID 2435

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNS	SEL (	OF RECORD.
2			
3	D . 1 Y		
4	Dated: January 22, 2018		
5		By:	/s/ Jennifer Milici
6		Dy.	Jennifer Milici, D.C. Bar No. 987096
7			Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
8			Washington, D.C. 20580 (202) 326-2912; (202) 326-3496 (fax)
9			jmilici@ftc.gov
10			
11			Attorney for Plaintiff FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
12			
13	Dated: January 22, 2018		
14		_	
15		By:	/s/ Kalpana Srinivasan
16			Kalpana Srinivasan Marc M. Seltzer
17			Steven G. Sklaver Amanda Bonn
18			Oleg Elkhunovich Krysta Kauble Pachman
19			SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
20			Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 789-3100
21			Facsimile: (310) 789-3006 Email: ksrinivasan@susmangodfrey.com
22			Email: mmseltzer@susmangodfrey.com Email: ssklaver@susmangodfrey.com
23			Email: abonn@susmangodfrey.com Email: oelkhunovich@susmangodfrey.com
24			Email: kpachman@susmangodfrey.com
25			Joseph Grinstein SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
26			1000 Louisiana Street # 5100 Houston, TX 77002
27			Telephone: (713) 651-9366 Facsimile: (713) 654-6666
28	<del>[PROPOSED]</del> JOINT DISCOVERY COORDINATION ORDER		Email: jgrinstein@susmangodfrey.com
	Case Nos.: 17-cv-00220-LHK (N.D. Cal.), 17-md-02773-LHK (N.D. Cal.), 17-cv-00108-GPC (S.D. Cal.), 17-cv-01010-GPC (S.D. Cal.)	9	

1			Joseph W. Cotchett
2			Adam J. Zapala Brian Danitz
3			Mark F. Ram Michael A. Montano
4			Toriana S. Holmes COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY
5			840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010
6			Telephone: (650) 697-6000 Facsimile: (650) 697-0577
7			Email: jcotchett@cpmlegal.com Email: azapala@cpmlegal.com
8			Email: bdanitz@cpmlegal.com Email: mram@cpmlegal.com
9			Email: mmontano@cpmlegal.com Email: tholmes@cpmlegal.com
10			Eman. monnes@cpiniegar.com
11			Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel
12			Steve W. Berman Jeff Friedman
13			Rio Pierce
14			HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
			1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98101
15			Telephone: (206) 268-9320 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594
16			Email: steve@hbsslaw.com Email: jefff@hbsslaw.com
17			Email: riop@hbsslaw.com
18			Plaintiffs' Steering Committee
19			
20	Dated: January 22, 2018		
21			
22		By:	/s/ Seth M. Sproul
23			Juanita R. Brooks (SBN 75934) Seth M. Sproul (SBN 217711)
24			FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
25			12390 El Caamino Real San Diego, CA 92130
26			Telephone: 858-678-5070 Facsimile: 858-678-5099
27			Email: brooks@fr.com Email: sproul@fr.com
28	[PROPOSED] JOINT DISCOVERY COORDINATION ORDER Case Nos.: 17-cv-00220-LHK (N.D. Cal.), 17-md-02773-LHK (N.D. Cal.), 17-cv-00108-GPC (S.D. Cal.), 17-cv-01010-GPC (S.D. Cal.)	10	

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		Lau FIS The 901 Wa Tele Em: Wil Kar Am BO 140 Wa Tele Fac Em:	Efin B. Cordell (admitted pro hac vice) uren A. Degnam (admitted pro hac vice) H & RICHARDSON P.C. McPherson Building 15 <sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., 7 <sup>th</sup> Floor shington, D.C. 20005 ephone: 202-783-5070 simile: 202-783-2331 ail: cordell@fr.com ail: degnan@fr.com  cliam A. Isaacson (admitted pro hac vice) ten L. Dunn (admitted pro hac vice) by J. Mauser (admitted pro hac vice) IES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP I New York Avenue, N.W. shington, D.C. 20005 ephone: 202-237-2727 simile: 202-237-6131 ail: wisaascson@bsfllp.com ail: kdunn@bsfllp.com
11			ail: amauser@bsfllp.com
12		Atto	orneys for APPLE INC.
13			
14	Dated: January 22, 2018		
15		By: /s/ J	Jason C. Lo
16			
17			eodore J. Boutrous, Jr. (SBN 132099) niel G. Swanson (SBN 116556)
18		Jaso	on C. Lo (SBN 219030) nifer J. Rho (SBN 254312)
19		Me	lissa Phan (SBN 266880)
20		333	BSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP South Grand Avenue
21		Tel	s Angeles, CA 90071 ephone: (213) 229-7000
22			simile: (213) 229-7520 ail: tboutrous@gibsondunn.com
		Em	ail: dswanson@gibsondunn.com ail: jlo@gibsondunn.com
23		Em	ail: jrho@gibsondunn.com ail: mphan@gibsondunn.com
24			nthia E. Richman (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
25		GÏE	BSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP Of Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
26		Wa	shington, D.C. 20036 ephone: (202) 955-8500
27			esimile: (202) 467-0539
28	[PROPOSED]-JOINT DISCOVERY COORDINATION ORDER Case Nos.: 17-cv-00220-LHK (N.D. Cal.), 17-md-02773-LHK (N.D. Cal.), 17-cv-00108-GPC (S.D. Cal.), 17-cv-01010-GPC (S.D. Cal.)	1 1	

Cal.), 17-cv-00108-GPC (S.D. Cal.), 17-cv-01010-GPC (S.D. Cal.)

## Case 2: **202xsav -50:2879/2h:dS-H12-7(7/3**p)L **HD/**oc/**Droen(**m)**e2/9/-3**505Fill**eidle:b00/11/9/24/11**8P **a7g/a**eg(**e**31/**2**/**f 2/**221 Page ID 2438

1			Email: crichman@gibsondunn.com
2			Attorneys for COMPAL ELECTRONICS, INC., FIH MOBILE LTD., HON HAI
3			PRECISION INDUSTRY CO., LTD., PEGATRON CORPORATION, and
4			WISTRON CORPORATION
5			Hugh F. Bangasser (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Christopher M. Wyatt (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
6			J. Timothy Hobbs (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) K&L GATES LLP
7			925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2900
8			Seattle, WA 98104 Telephone: 206-623-7580
9			Facsimile: 206-370-6371 Email: hugh.bangasser@klgates.com Email: tim.hobbs@klgates.com
10			Attorneys for WISTRON CORPORATION
11			Audineys joi wish kow conformitor
12			
13	Dated: January 22, 2018		
14		By:	/s/ Evan R. Chesler
15			
16			Evan R. Chesler Richard J. Stark
17			Antony L. Ryan
18			Gary A. Bornstein J. Wesley Earnhardt
19			Yonatan Even Vanessa A. Lavely
20			CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP Worldwide Plaza
21			825 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10019
			Tel: (212) 474-1000
22			Fax: (212) 474-3700 echesler@cravath.com
23			rstark@cravath.com aryan@cravath.com
24			gbornstein@cravath.com wearnhardt@cravath.com
25			yeven@cravath.com vlavely@cravath.com
26			
27			Karen P. Hewitt Randall E. Kay Kelly V. O'Donnell
28			neny v. o Domien
	[PROPOSED] JOINT DISCOVERY COORDINATION ORDER Case Nos.: 17-cv-00220-LHK (N.D. Cal.), 17-md-02773-LHK (N.D.		

Cal.), 17-cv-00108-GPC (S.D. Cal.), 17-cv-01010-GPC (S.D. Cal.)

### Case 2: **202xsav-50:2879/2xx5x-10:2879/2xx5x**

		IONES DAN
1		JONES DAY 4655 Executive Drive, Suite 1500 San Diego, California 92121
2		Tel: (858) 314-1200
3		Fax: (844) 345-3178 kphewitt@jonesday.com
4		rekay@jonesday.com kodonnell@jonesday.com
5		Robert A. Van Nest Asim M. Bhansali
6		Eugene M. Paige
7		Matan Shacham Justina Sessions
8		David W. Rizk Alexander Dryer
9		KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP 633 Battery Street
10		San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: (415) 391-5400
11		Fax: (415) 397-7188 rvannest@keker.com
12		abhansali@keker.com epaige@keker.com
13		mshacham@keker.com jsessions@keker.com
14		drizk@keker.com adryer@keker.com
15		Richard S. Taffet
16		MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 101 Park Avenue
17		New York, NY 10178-0060 Tel: (212) 309-6000
18		Fax: (212) 309-6001 richard.taffet@morganlewis.com
19		Willard K. Tom
20		MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 Pennsylvania Ave. NW
21		Washington, DC 20004-2541 Tel: (202) 739-3000
22		Fax: (202) 739 3001 willard.tom@morganlewis.com
23		Donn P. Pickett
24		Geoffrey T. Holtz MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
25		One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105-1126
26		Tel: (415) 442-1000 Fax: (415) 442-1001
27		donn.pickett@morganlewis.com geoffrey.holtz@morganlewis.com
28	EDBADOSEDLIGINT DISCOVERY COOPDINATION OPDER	

#### Case 2: **202xssv-50:2879/2h/5-H12-70703pl. HD/**oc/**Droenit**m/**£219-35**05Filleide:**b00/19/2411**8Patgrægt**£**51ølf @1221 PageID 2440

1	Richard S. Zembek Daniel S. Leventhal
2	Talbot Hansum Eric B. Hall
3	NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP 1301 McKinney, Suite 5100
4	Houston, Texas 77010 Tel: (713) 651-5151
5	richard.zembek@nortonrosefulbright.com daniel.leventhal@nortonrosefulbright.com
6	talbot.hansum@nortonrosefulbright.com eric.hall@nortonrosefulbright.com
7	David A. Nelson
8	Stephen Swedlow QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
9	SULLIVAN, LLP 500 West Madison St., Suite 2450
10	Chicago, Illinois 60661 Tel: (312) 705-7400
11	Fax: (312) 705-7401 davenelson@quinnemanuel.com
12	stephenswedlow@quinnemanuel.com
13	Alexander Rudis
	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
14	51 Madison Ave., 22nd Floor New York, NY 10010
15	Tel: (212) 849-7000 Fax: (212) 849-7100
16	alexanderrudis@quinnemanuel.com
17	Sean S. Pak
18	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
19	50 California St., 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111
20	Tel: (415) 875-6600 Fax: (415) 875-6700
21	seanpak@quinnemanuel.com
22	Attorneys for QUALCOMM INCORPORATED
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

#### Case 2: **20a:sav-50:2879/2h:(5-H)2**7778pLHD/oc/Droenitn/e219-3505Filleidle: b00/119224118Patgrægte61.5f 2/1221 PageID 2/141

**FILER'S ATTESTATION** I, James W. Carlson, am the ECF user whose identification and password are being used to file this Joint Stipulation and Discovery Coordination Order. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that the signatories on this document have concurred in this filing. By: /s/ James W. Carlson [PROPOSED] JOINT DISCOVERY COORDINATION ORDER

	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO	ORDERED
1		A .
2	DATED: 1/24/18	Fucy H. Koh
3		Honorable Lucy H. Koh United States District Judge
4		Office States District stage
5	ž.	
6		
7	DATED: 01/34/18	(BuldZC)
8		Honorable Gonzalo P. Curiel United States District Judge
9		90 C 200 N
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15 16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	1	
23		9
24		
25		÷
26		
27	Ф — А	
28		

**SCHEDULE A** 1 2 Federal Trade Commission v. Qualcomm Incorporated, Case No. 17-cv-00220-LHK (N.D. Cal.) 3 Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission 4 Jennifer Milici, jmilici@ftc.gov 5 J. Alexander Ansaldo, jansaldo@ftc.gov Joseph R. Baker, jbaker1@ftc.gov 6 Wesley G. Carson, wcarson@ftc.gov 7 Elizabeth A. Gillen, egillen@ftc.gov Daniel Matheson, dmatheson@ftc.gov 8 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. 9 Washington, D.C. 20580 10 11 Defendant Qualcomm Incorporated 12 Gary A. Bornstein, gbornstein@cravath.com 13 J. Wesley Earnhardt, wearnhardt@cravath.com Yonatan Even, yeven@cravath.com 14 Vanessa A. Lavely, vlavely@cravath.com Stefan H. Atkinson, satkinson@cravath.com 15 James W. Carlson, jcarlson@cravath.com CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP 16 Worldwide Plaza 17 825 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10019 18 Robert A. Van Nest, rvannest@keker.com 19 Asim M. Bhansali, abhansali@keker.com Eugene M. Paige, epaige@keker.com 20 Matan Shacham, mshacham@keker.com 21 Justina Sessions, jsessions@keker.com David W. Rizk, drizk@keker.com 22 Alexander Dryer, adryer@keker.com KEKER, VAN NEST, & PETERS LLP 23 633 Battery Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1809 24 25 Richard S. Taffet, richard.taffet@morganlewis.com MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 26 101 Park Avenue New York, NY 10178-0060 27 28

-1-

1	Willard K. Tom, willard.tom@morganlewis.com MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
2	1111 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington, DC 20004-2541
3	washington, DC 20004-2341
4	Donn P. Pickett, donn.pickett@morganlewis.com Geoffrey T. Holtz, geoffrey.holtz@morganlewis.com
5	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP One Market, Spear Street Tower
6	San Francisco, CA 94105-1126
7	Richard S. Zembek, richard.zembek@nortonrosefulbright.com
8	Daniel S. Leventhal, daniel.leventhal@nortonrosefulbright.com Talbot Hansum, talbot.hansum@nortonrosefulbright.com
9	Eric B. Hall, eric.hall@nortonrosefulbright.com NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT
10	1301 McKinney, Suite 5100
11	Houston, Texas 77010
12	In re Qualcomm Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 17-md-02773-LHK (N.D. Cal.)
	Plaintiffs' Interim Co-Lead Counsel
13	Kalpana Srinivasan
14	Marc M. Seltzer Steven G. Sklaver
15	Amanda Bonn
16	Oleg Elkhunovich Krysta Kauble Pachman
	SŮSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
17	1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90067
18	Telephone: (310) 789-3100 Facsimile: (310) 789-3150
19	Email: ksrinivasan@susmangodfrey.com
20	Email: mseltzer@susmangodfrey.com Email: ssklaver@susmangodfrey.com Email: abonn@susmangodfrey.com
21	Email: oelkhunovich@susmangodfrey.com
22	Email: kpachman@susmangodfrey.com
23	Joseph Grinstein
24	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100 Houston, TX 77002
25	Telephone: (713) 651-9366 Facsimile: (713) 654-6666
26	Email: jgrinstein@susmangodfrey.com
27	
28	

Joseph W. Cotchett 1 Adam J. Zapala Brian Danitz 2 Mark F. Ram Michael A. Montano 3 Toriana S. Holmes COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY 4 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010 5 Telephone: (650) 697-6000 Facsimile: (650) 697-0577 6 Email: jcotchett@cpmlegal.com Email: azapala@cpmlegal.com 7 Email: bdanitz@cpmlegal.com Email: mram@cpmlegal.com 8 Email: mmontano@cpmlegal.com Email: tholmes@cpmlegal.com 9 Plaintiffs' Steering Committee 10 Steve W. Berman 11 Jeff Friedman Rio Pierce 12 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300 13 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 268-9320 14 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594 Email: steve@hbsslaw.com 15 Email: jefff@hbsslaw.com Email: riop@hbsslaw.com 16 Defendant Qualcomm Incorporated 17 18 Gary A. Bornstein, gbornstein@cravath.com J. Wesley Earnhardt, wearnhardt@cravath.com 19 Yonatan Even, yeven@cravath.com Vanessa A. Lavely, vlavely@cravath.com 20 Stefan H. Atkinson, satkinson@cravath.com James W. Carlson, jcarlson@cravath.com 21 CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP 22 Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue 23 New York, NY 10019 24 Robert A. Van Nest, rvannest@keker.com Asim M. Bhansali, abhansali@keker.com 25 Eugene M. Paige, epaige@keker.com 26 Justina Sessions, jsessions@keker.com David W. Rizk, drizk@keker.com 27 Alexander Dryer, adryer@keker.com 28

### Case 2: 2024-539-53-2879-2345-612-77739-LHD/oc/Droentm/e2/19-3505-Filleidle: 100/11922411-8Patgreg2e12-01f-2422-1 Page ID 2446

1	KEKER, VAN NEST, & PETERS LLP 633 Battery Street
2	San Francisco, CA 94111-1809
3	Richard S. Taffet, richard.taffet@morganlewis.com MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
4 5	101 Park Avenue New York, NY 10178-0060
6 7 8	Willard K. Tom, willard.tom@morganlewis.com MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington, DC 20004-2541
9 10	Apple Inc. v. Qualcomm Incorporated, Case No. 17-cv-00108-GPC (S.D. Cal.) and Qualcomm Incorporated v. Compal Electronics, Inc., FIH Mobile Ltd., Hon Hai Precision Industry Co., Ltd., Pegatron Corporation, and Wistron Corporation, Case No. 17-cv-01010-GPC (S.D. Cal.)
11 12	Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant Apple Inc.
13 14 15 16 17	Amy J. Mauser BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP 1401 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 Phone: 202-237-2727 Email: amauser@bsfllp.com
18 19 20	Benjamin C. Elacqua FISH & RICHARDSON LLP 1221 McKinney Street, Suite 2800 Houston, TX 77010 Phone: 713-654-5300 Email: Elacqua@fr.com
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	Apple_Qualcomm_Service@bsfllp.com Apple/QualcommFRService@fr.com
24	Defendants and Counterclaim Plaintiffs Compal Electronics, Inc., FIH Mobile Ltd., Hon Hai Precision Industry Co., Ltd., Pegatron Corporation, and Wistron Corporation
25	Jason Lo, jlo@gibsondunn.com
26	Jennifer Rho, jrho@gibsondunn.com Ryan Iwahashi, riwahashi@gibsondunn.com CHPW-1010@gibsondunn.com
27	2111 1010@g1000
28	[ <del>PROPOSED]</del> JOINT DISCOVERY COORDINATION ORDER  Case Nos: 17-cv-00220-LHK (N.D. Cal.), 17-md-02773-LHK (N.D4-

-4-

Case Nos.: 17-cv-00220-LHK (N.D. Cal.), 17-md-02773-LHK (N.D. Cal.), 17-cv-00108-GPC (S.D. Cal.), 17-cv-01010-GPC (S.D. Cal.)

1	Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff Qualcomm Incorporated
2	Gary A. Bornstein, gbornstein@cravath.com
3	J. Wesley Earnhardt, wearnhardt@cravath.com Yonatan Even, yeven@cravath.com
4	Vanessa A. Lavely, vlavely@cravath.com
5	Stefan H. Atkinson, satkinson@cravath.com James W. Carlson, jcarlson@cravath.com
6	CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP Worldwide Plaza
7	825 Eighth Avenue
8	New York, NY 10019
9	David A. Nelson, davenelson@quinnemanuel.com Stephen Swedlow, stephenswedlow@quinnemanuel.com Marc L. Kaplan, marckaplan@quinnemanuel.com
10	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 500 West Madison St., Suite 2450
11	Chicago, Illinois 60661
12	Michael L. Fazio, michaelfazio@quinnemanuel.com Joseph C. Sarles, josephsarles@quinnemanuel.com
13	QUINN EMANUEL ÜRQUHÄRT & SULLIVAN, LLP 865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor
14	Los Angeles, CA 90017
15	Alexander Rudis, alexanderrudis@quinnemanuel.com QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
16	51 Madison Ave., 22nd Floor New York, NY 10010
17	Sean S. Pak, seanpak@quinnemanuel.com QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
18	50 California St., 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111
19	, and the second
20	Karen P. Hewitt, kphewitt@jonesday.com Randall E. Kay, rekay@jonesday.com
21	Kelly V. O'Donnell, kodonnell@jonesday.com JONES DAY
22	4655 Executive Drive, Suite 1500 San Diego, California 92121
23	Richard S. Zembek, richard.zembek@nortonrosefulbright.com
24	Daniel S. Leventhal, daniel.leventhal@nortonrosefulbright.com Talbot Hansum, talbot.hansum@nortonrosefulbright.com
25	Eric B. Hall, eric.hall@nortonrosefulbright.com
26	NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT 1301 McKinney, Suite 5100
27	Houston, Texas 77010
28	IPROPOSEDLIGINT DISCOVERY COORDINATION ORDER